

Warning under 69.2 needs to be reported to the MNA

A submission from the Royal Netherlands Watersports Association

### Purpose or Objective

The purpose of reporting the warnings given under RRS 69,2 is to be able to take actions if a person commits a minor case of misconduct (enough to get a warning) along a certain number of events in a specific timeframe. So for example 3 times within 2 years. This way people who fall back into the same behaviour again and again can be held accountable and punished if needed. At this moment there are no records of this but we know that these cases exist. The appeals committee of the MNA could keep track of their cases. To diminish the workload in case of a warning only the written decision could be send to the MNA.

### Proposal

#### **Change to rule Rule 69.2**

(j) If the protest committee

- (1) imposes a penalty **including a warning greater the one DNE; or**
- (2) excludes the person from the event or venue; ~~or~~
- ~~(3) in any other case if it considers it appropriate;~~

it shall report its findings, including the facts found, its conclusions and decision to the national authority of the person or, for specific international events listed in the World Sailing Regulations, to World Sailing. If the protest committee has acted under rule 69.2(f)(2), the report shall also include that fact and the reasons for it.

### Current Position

(j) If the protest committee

- (1) imposes a penalty greater the one DNE;
- (2) excludes the person from the event or venue; or
- (3) in any other case if it considers it appropriate,

it shall report its findings, including the facts found, its conclusions and decision to the national authority of the person or, for specific international events listed in the World Sailing Regulations, to World Sailing. If the protest committee has acted under rule 69.2(f)(2), the report shall also include that fact and the reasons for it.

### Reasons

1. Being able to keep track repeated misbehaviour
  2. Being able to punish someone who is a repeated offender
  3. If someone knows that the MNA keeps track of previous offences and that there may be consequences he or she will be less likely to break the rule again
-